



Boston, MA 02210-2211

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/16/2001 1340 09/786,436 Hermann Wagner C1041/7010 EXAMINER 7590 06/02/2004 Alan W Steele WHITEMAN, BRIAN A Wolf Greenfield & Sacks ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Avenue 1635

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/786,436	WAGNER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian Whiteman	1635	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OI - Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a red d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by status later than three months after the mailing	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	cation.
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN . 3) ☐ Since this applica	tion is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
ciosed in accorda	nce with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>104-110</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>116</u> is/ar	and 112-114 is/are rejected	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	equest that any objection to the	s/are: a) accepted or b) e e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	objected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.15 Office Action or form PTO-15	21(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
12) Acknowledgment i a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	s made of a claim for foreige * c) None of: pies of the priority documer pies of the priority documer ne certified copies of the pri from the International Bure	nts have been received in Apiority documents have been	oplication No received in this National Stage	÷
Attachment(s)	PTO-802\	4) Interview S	Immary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11 	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/786,436

Art Unit: 1635

, v. 8

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

Claims 104-110, 112-114 and 116 are pending.

Applicants' traversal, the amendment to claims 104, the cancellation of claims 34-103, 115, 117-171 in paper filed on 3/12/04 is acknowledged and considered.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 9/23/04. These drawings are acceptable. The statement in the office action mailed on 12/10/03 that the drawings received on 9/24/03 were not acceptable is most because upon further consideration the examiner cannot find anything wrong with the drawings.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,436

Art Unit: 1635

J ... %

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract has more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claim 116 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 112, 113, and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tam (US 5,932,556). Tam teaches using an oligomer (SEQ ID NO: 4, GGGTTGGAGGGGGTGGTGGGG) in methods of treating a variety of immune disorders, including treating a tumor-induced cachexia (columns 7 and 11), wherein the oligomer has a sequence chosen from and does not comprise a CG dinucleotide. The oligomers comprise from about 11 to about 50 nucleic acid base units (column 6). The oligomers may be DNA, RNA, or various analogs thereof, and include 14-50 base phosphorothioates, and be endonuclease resistant (columns 7-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/786,436

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

Claim 116 is free of the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (571) 272-0760.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Brian Whiteman Patent Examiner, Group 1635

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Srott D. Cruho